# Vapor Intrusion Evaluation and Mitigation Systems

#### ENVIRONMENTAL OPERATIONS, INC.

PRESENTED AT MECC CONFERENCE APRIL 22-24, 2019





- Vapor Sampling Methods
- Evaluating Vapor Intrusion Results
- Strategies for Dealing with Vapor Intrusion
- Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Systems
- Case Studies
- General Costs
- Reducing Overall Costs

### **VI Sampling Methods**

- Subsurface Soil Gas (Soil Vapor)
  - Install shallow soil gas wells outside of existing building, or if no buildings on site
- Sub-Slab Vapor
  - Collect beneath concrete building slab
- Groundwater
  - USEPA and most states have VI standards based on groundwater concentrations

## Soil Gas Sampling



• External subsurface soil gas sampling



• Sub-slab sampling

### Advantages and Disadvantages

#### **ADVANTAGES**

**Exterior Subsurface Soil Gas** 

- Rapid
- Cost effective
- Can be used to evaluate multiple areas

#### Sub-slab Vapor

• More direct measurement (directly under building)

#### DISADVANTAGES

**Exterior Subsurface Soil Gas** 

- Risk of ambient air breakthrough
- Risk of groundwater interference

#### Sub-slab Vapor

- Indoor source interference potential
- Use of sub-slab data may overestimate risk

## VI Sampling Methods, cont.

#### Indoor Air

- Document actual building conditions
- Inventory potential sources of VOCs and remove if possible
- Vacuum canister with flow controller (8 hr. or 24 hr.)

#### Crawlspace Air

• Sampling is similar to indoor air from crawlspace

## Indoor Air Sampling





- Concurrent indoor air and subslab sampling
- Crawlspace sampling

## Advantages and Disadvantages, cont.

#### **ADVANTAGES**

Indoor Air

- Direct data for exposure evaluation
- Easiest sample collection method

Groundwater

- May already have data from other investigations
- Provides preliminary look

#### **DISADVANTAGES**

#### Indoor Air

- Indoor source interference potential
- IA concentrations may fluctuate

#### Groundwater

- Not a direct indicator
- Depth may preclude vapor migration

### **Evaluating VI Data**

- First do you have any volatiles?
- Compare sample results to look-up tables
  USEPA RSL tables or VISL on-line
- Do you need additional sampling?
- Risk assessment
- Consider—
  - Natural biodegradation/attenuation BTEX, TPH
- Remediation or mitigation?
  - Pro-active mitigation is sometimes less costly

### **Remediation or Mitigation?**

- Can use combination of strategies to address VI
- Traditional remediation (excavation, treatment, thermal, biodegradation, MNA)
- Institutional controls
  - Land use limitations (industrial/commercial)
  - Building location restrictions
- Building control technologies
  - **o Barriers/liners**
  - o Sub-slab/sub-membrane venting systems
- Interim or permanent

### **Types of Mitigation Systems**

- Sub-slab depressurization or venting
- Impermeable barrier
  - **o** Chemical resistant
  - Installed during new building construction
  - Can be retro-fitted in some cases

- Vented raised floors
  For new construction
- Follow-up with indoor air sampling to verify



#### RADON CONTROL FOR EXISTING HOUSES: SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION



#### Case Study 1 – Residential Sub-Membrane Depressurization









## Case Study 2 – Office Sub-Slab Depressurization









## Case Study 3 – Impermeable Barrier with Passive Venting in New Construction

- Vapor vent installed below barrier
- Wind turbines on roof to promote vapor exhaust
- Installed performance monitoring system
- Can convert passive to active venting by installing fans



### Case Study 3 – Venting and Performance Monitoring Systems



## Case Study 3 – Barrier Installation







## Case Study 3 – Venting and Performance Monitoring



#### **General Costs for Mitigation Systems**

- Sub-slab or sub-membrane depressurization single unit:
  - \$1100-1500 for individual system
  - Electrical hook-up and roofing (if needed) extra
  - Electrical costs are minimal about \$65-130/year
  - O&M periodic inspections, fan replacement
- Impermeable barrier system:
  - Multi-layered (new construction) \$2-4 per ft<sup>2</sup>
  - Retro-fit (existing building) \$5-7 per ft<sup>2</sup>

### Ways to Reduce Overall Costs

#### SAMPLING

- Reduce the list of analytes to known contaminants of concern
  - E.g. BTEX instead of all VOCs if known contaminants are gasoline/petroleum products
  - For indoor air samples, ask lab to report known COCs, e.g. chlorinated VOCs for a former dry cleaning site
  - Analytical cost may not be lower but reduces reporting costs as well as avoiding potential "can of worms" issues
- Skip directly to indoor air sampling to evaluate VI
  - If soil/groundwater results are elevated
  - If building is vacant

### Ways to Reduce Overall Costs (cont.)

#### MITIGATION

- Institutional controls
  - Consider land use restrictions
    - ▼ Restrict building construction in areas of contamination
    - × Restrict residential land use

#### Proactive Mitigation

- Skip step-wise sampling events plan to install mitigation system prior to closure or during construction
  - **×** If existing data show elevated concentrations in soil/groundwater
  - **x** If complete remediation of soil/groundwater is too costly
  - × Can be relatively inexpensive and satisfy regulators (get buy-in)
  - × If time is critical to project closure

### **Questions?**

- Jennifer M. Martin, HeplerBroom LLC 217-993-6074 <u>Jennifer.Martin@helplerbroom.com</u> <u>www.HeplerBroom.com</u>
- Mary Juan, Environmental Operations, Inc. 314-241-0900 <u>maryj@environmentalops.com</u> <u>www.environmentalops.com</u>