

trinityconsultants.com

### **Measuring the Value of EMIS**

Midwest Environmental Compliance Conference April 11, 2018

Joshua Gardner, Trinity Consultants

# Agenda

- > What is EMIS?
- > Identify worthiness of EMIS
- > Measuring the value of EMIS
  - The EMIS implementation process
  - Building a business case
  - Estimating ROI and cost savings



# What is EMIS?



# What is EMIS?

> Environmental Management Information System

- A <u>partially</u> automated information technology (IT) system that simplifies the process of data collection, recordkeeping, auditing, and reporting of EHS data at one or more facilities.
- > Technology choices are abundant:
  - Commercial Enterprise EHS Software
  - Microsoft Solutions
  - Custom or In-House Solutions
- > Goals for EMIS consumers: reduce costs, improve EHS information and personnel efficiency, risk reduction and mitigation



### **Examples of EMIS Capabilities**

#### Water Management



Audits and Inspections

015

00

0.0017

0.0017

0.0017

0.0019

0.0019

0.0019

0.0020

0 0020

0.0020

1925

13925

5925

7925

13925

5925

548.2

13925

1925

233.3

312

5482



Regulatory Compliance Management

4200

220

220

165.0

165.4



Air Quality Management



Waste Management



# **EMIS "Action Words"**

- > Comply Track regulatory deadlines and compliance tasks through compliance calendars
- > Remind Auto-generate emails that remind task owners of a compliance obligation; supervisor escalation should reminder go unresolved

Simplify - Streamline collection of recordkeeping data by integrating facility legacy systems, which reduces or could eliminate double data entry



### **EMIS "Action Words"**

- > Report Auto-generating environmental reports (e.g., annual emissions inventory) that match manually generated results, with less user intervention/input
- > Predict Create alarms that warn users when at risk of an exceedance or excursion of regulatory limits
- > Think Performing "what-if" scenarios and assessments



# **Identify Worthiness of EMIS**



# Signs of the Need for EMIS

- > New requirements or regulations have increased monitoring, recordkeeping, and reporting burden
- > Overlapping regulations have led to confusion
- > Reduced environmental staff with the same or increased regulatory burden
- > Little standardization in EHS work processes
  - Facility-to-Facility
  - Person-to-Person



# Signs of the Need for EMIS

- > Overly dependent on spreadsheets that are understood by few staff members
- > Loss of staff member has created a knowledge gap with respect to EHS data or processes
- Generation of regulatory reports involves the manual transcription of data from various sources



# **EMIS vs Spreadsheets**

EMIS		Spreadsheets
One current system of record	Data Centralization	Scattered and outdated
Real-time dashboards	Reporting	Static, cut and paste
Immediate and broad	Visibility	Manual and isolated analysis
Dynamic workflow	Process	Slow email routing
One-time entry/data feeds	Data Accuracy	Error-prone/re-keying
Evergreen regulatory updates	Timely	Out-of-date, static data
Fast and intuitive	Ease of Use	Complex and time- consuming

A Guide to EMIS Functions, Benefits & Trends, The Bureau of National Affairs, Inc., 2016.



# **Measuring the Value of EMIS**



#### The EMIS Implementation Process

**Evaluation** 

Needs Assessment

Roll-out, Training & Support Implementation Strategy and Planning

Testing

**System Design** 

Configuration, Data Migration, & Reports

Gnsultants

# **Building a Business Case**

#### > EMIS needs assessment

- Identify and prioritize functional requirements "wishlist"
- Map current and "to be" workflows
- > Identify current system costs
- > Scope potential EMIS solutions
  - Product costs
  - Labor costs (initial and operating)
- > Identify non-economic benefits of EMIS



### Functional Requirements "Wishlist"

#### > Areas to consider

- Permit compliance tracking
- Audit findings/follow-up
- Air emissions
- Waste manifests/reporting
- Corporate metrics/stewardship reporting
- Others...

#### > For each area:

- Identify detailed requirements
- List both what is needed and what is not wanted
- Apply prioritization ranking



### Functional Requirements "Wishlist"

#### **Detailed View**

	Α	В	С	D	E F		
1				Health & Safety Functional Business Re			ments
			<b>.</b>		1 ( C )		
		Category	Category	F	a s i i	Ā.	0
2	-	Name	Area	Functional Requirement			Suppo
2		Safate					
<u> </u>		Jarety	Francomic				
44			Assessment		8		
45				Follow-up Notification System	10	F	
				Employee details and date of last			
46				assessment	10	F	
				Interface with HR system to identify			
47	-			new employees and employee moves	9		PeopleS
				I rigger notification to responsible			
49				document follow-up and closecut	7	F	
40				Assign case numbers which could be		-	
49				used in incident tracking	10	F	Ability to
				ascallminolacilit traditing		· ·	r ibility to
				Reports for Facility, Department,			
50				Incident Priority, Incident Evaluation	8	F	
				Security to limit data entry and			
51				access	10	S	
				Track History of Assessment and			
52	_			Actions	8	S	
			HISK and				
52			Accoccmont		10		
			rissessment	Perform hazard assessment on			
				facility or area basis of operator			
54				tasks	10	F	
				Standardized Risk Matrix and Identify			
55				Possible Actions	10	F	
				Document results and Flag critical		_	
56	-			risks	10	F	
				I rigger action item for critical tasks			
57				and required documentation of	10	E	ő bilitu te
				Follow-up and closeout	10		- ability (C
58				documentation	10	F	
				Guide risk assessment team through			
				checklist of identifying risks for			
59	-			projects, capital expenditures	10	F	
60	-			Generates a Contingency Plan	1		
61				Generates a Rick Management Dire			
01				cienciates a hisk management Plan			configur
62			BMP/PSM		2		Lost Ca
~				Incident tracking status (PSM or non-	_		
63				PSM)	5	F	
64				Action item followups	5	F	
65				Document Management	10	F	
				Tie in with other modules (action			
66				tracking, incident model)	10		

#### **Summary View**

	A	B C		D	E	F	G
1	Environm	ental					
2		Compliand	ce Tracking				10
3		Permit Tra	ackina				7
4		Emission	Inventory				10
5		GHG Emis	sions				10
6		Chemical	Inventory				5
7		Spill and F	Release Repor	ting			10
8		Waste Re	porting	-			2
9		Wastewat	ter Reporting				3
10		Remediati	on Tracking S	ystem			7
11		Equipmen	t Inventory				1
12							
13	Health and	d Safety					
14		Incident T	racking				10
15		Concern T	racking				10
16		Training T	racking				10
17		Action Tra	icking				10
18		Inspection	ns and Audits				9
19		Ergonomi	c Assessmen	t			8
20		Risk and H	lazard Asses:	sment			10
21		RMP/PSM					2
22		MOC					10
23		IH/Medica	Surveillance				6
24		MSDS					1
25		Contracto	r Managemen	t			1
26							



# Mapping Workflows

#### > "As Is" Workflow

- Define the current practice for accomplishing "output" (reports, compliance demonstrations, calculations, etc.)
- Identify where data comes from, who collects, how is it processed, what is the output
- > "To Be" Workflow
  - Where are opportunities for a system to streamline/improve/simplify the process?
  - Notate improvements to timing, compliance assurance, etc.
- > Remember to brainstorm workflow improvements, don't limit yourself to pre-conceived software functionality!



# Mapping Workflows ("As Is")

EHS Regulation/Legislative Review Process



ask(s) to address regleg item

# Mapping Workflows ("To Be")



# **Quantify Current System Costs**

- Number of personnel who handle the same data for different purposes
- > Time spent gathering data from production for calculation and reporting
- > Time spent auditing and reviewing data gathered and calculation methods
- > Time spent updating and supporting disparate inhouse legacy systems
- > Time spent entering data into spreadsheets
- > Time required to manually generate EH&S reports emissions inventories, manifests, discharge monitoring reports (DMRs), toxic release inventories (TRI), etc.



# **Quantify Current System Costs**

- > Time spent tracking waste containers and storage inventory
- > Time spent tracking permit changes and effective dates
- > Time spent monitoring data and activities to ensure compliance with permits
- Time spent in manually tracking global GHG projects and allowances, and administrative activities such as deal origination, contracting, and invoicing for GHG credits trading activities throughout the world
- > Third party auditing costs for certifying a GHG inventory



### Identify EMIS Solution Commercial Enterprise Software

- > Applicable to a wide variety of customer needs
  - Environmental Compliance and Data Management
  - GHG & Carbon Management
  - Environmental Business Intelligence
  - Energy Management
  - Quality
- > Typical implementation costs range from \$100K - \$1,000K+





### Identify EMIS Solution Microsoft Solutions

#### > Excel

- Extensively used within industry
- Features that can be exploited for automation

#### > SharePoint

- Compliance tracking and notifications
- Integration with Outlook
- EHS Work Flow Management
- Simple Dashboards
- > Access
  - Desktop database
  - Previously popular in developing custom solutions
- > MS SQL Server / Oracle
  - Microsoft's standard database





### Identify EMIS Solution Microsoft Solutions



Precision       The Applaquetry Conclusions the Applaquetry Byothen (13/CPR) provides an integrated platform form involvemental compliance demonstrations. The Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, cont effective, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, cont effective, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, cont effective, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, cont effective, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, cont effective, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, cont effective, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, contractive, internet theorhousy solution to append the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, conclusions and presents to all sectors and the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, and the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, conclusions as an applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, and the Applaquetry Conclusions seeking as sectors, and the Applaquetry Conclusions as an applaquetry conclusions are applaquetry and the Applaquetry Conclusions as an applaquetry conclusions are applaquetry conclusions are applaquetry conclusions for applaquetry conclusions are applaquetry Conclusions and present represented tab. The system cancel sectors are applaquetry conclusions are applaquetry Conclusions and applaquetry Conclusions are applicable and applaquetry conclusions are applicable are applicable. The system cancel are applicable are applicabl	rme Description remail typis tr
exterprise vide compliance proposet.  BitCord galaxy control of the properties of t	ne Description Interval lysis TT k
Control alive separations to manage and 10.3 germit and non-permit requirements, such as negations, montaining, reporting, and training.  Program to any permit requirements to manage and 10.3 germit and non-permit requirements, such as negations, montaining, reporting, and training.  Program to any permit requirements and the second requirements and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second second requirements and the second requirement base. The system can base and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second second requirements and the second requirement base. The system can base and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second second requirements and the second requirement base. The system can base and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second second requirements and the second requirement base. The system can base and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second second requirement base. The system can base and requirement base and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second second requirement base. The system can base and manage have complexed parameters for a single size of the second	me Description Inversal 77 k
Compliance Events     Compliance     Compliance Events     Compliance     C	newal Iysis 71 k
Contraction binds     Contraction of the second secon	iyas T k
Accounties Friderics     Accounting Party      Accounting Par	ст к
EXISCH3 seasily you in generating anal plants and remindres to responsible personnel identifying tasks status. Users can also generate in-base regions and young tasks status users and also a single star or the other extensions.     Add next to response that the visition of response tasks and results for a single star or the other extensions.     Add next to response tasks that the visition of response tasks and results for a single star or the other extensions.     Add next to response tasks that the visition of response tasks and results for a single star or the other extension.     Add next tasks that the visition of response tasks and results for a single star or the other extension.	k
Club & Agesanace Advanced Properties  Market Club & Adgesanace Advanced Properties  Market Club & Agesanace Advanced Properties  Market Club & Advanced Pro	x
enterprise. Attennets Lending Page - 192A Task Status Dusk Regenerate. Advanced Properties Dots Regenerate. Advanced Properties Dots Reg. Dusk Tele Dusk Regenerate. Advanced Properties Dusk Regene	
	Assigned To
URI. Hress. Readings 8/16/2012 Inquiry- Pabric Filter Malfunctions	Wendy Merz
Completed Visible Emissions Poly 8/31/2012 Policy- Dust Minimization	Tom Grosch
Completed w/ Deviation Water Usage A 9/28/2012 Title V Renewal	Wendy Nerz
9/28/2012 Policy- Records Retention	Wendy Merz
Past Due Tasks 9/28/2012 Policy- Dust Minimization	Wendy Merz
9/28/2012 Policy- Records Retention	Tom Grosch
Show Only Problems 9/29/2012 Annual Stack Test- CO, Board Dryer #2	Wendy Merz
3 Indicator Goal Value Status	Wendy Merz
9/30/2012 3rd Line Stack Testing	Tom Grosch
4 10/11/2012 New Task	Patty Centoranti
united united and a second state of the second	
	Wendy Merz



### Identify EMIS Solution Custom Solutions

- > Mobile technology
  - Visible Emissions Management (VEMS)
- > Custom or specialized databases
  - TankESP, SANGEA
- > Enhanced spreadsheets
  - Trinity Tanks Tool, LARK-TRIPP



# ROI and Cost Savings (1 of 4)

- > Return on Investment (ROI) = ([Gain on Investment] - [Cost of Investment]) / [Cost of Investment]
- > Internal Rate of Return (r)
  - Set NPV = 0 and solve for r

$$NPV = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C_t}{(1+r)^t} - C_0$$

Where:

- $C_t$  = net cash inflow during the period t
- $C_o$ = total initial investment costs
- r = discount rate, and
- t = number of time periods



# ROI and Cost Savings (2 of 4) Example Chemical Facility - ROI = 38%





### ROI and Cost Savings (3 of 4)

#### Example Gen Manufacturing Facility - ROI = 6%





# ROI and Cost Savings (4 of 4)

- > Other hard to quantify benefits of an EMIS include:
  - Reduce corporate risk and liabilities
  - Avoid new hires and/or re-deploy human resources
  - Higher production output due to improved environmental data visibility
  - Better customer and supplier relationships
  - Higher brand value



# Key Takeaways

- > Understand current processes and their underlying "costs"
- > What future state will make changing to EMIS worth the effort?
- > Identify the correct EMIS solution
- > Quantify "as-is" and "to-be" costs and generate ROI, IRR, or other assessment of investment
- > Third-party software may not be the correct decision for all cases
- If you determine EMIS is right for you, find the right partner (vendor, consultant, colleague, etc)



# **Comments/Questions**



# **Contact Information**

- > Joshua Gardner
  - Managing Consultant
  - Trinity Consultants St. Louis
  - ✤ 636-530-4600 x106
  - jgardner@trinityconsultants.com



# **Bonus Case Study**





trinityconsultants.com

#### Trinity/T3 EMIS Implementation Case Study

Regulatory Compliance and CEMS Reporting Using an EMIS

### **Presentation Objectives**

- > Provide overview of project: drivers, evaluation, requirements, outcomes
- > Review of process approach
- > Explore critical challenges: technology and other external factors



### Project Background -Business Case

- > Refinery operations in the U.S. subject to Refinery MACT, NSPS J requiring rigorous CEMS and excess emissions reporting
- > As part of refining consent decree agreement with EPA, facility required to notify "immediately upon detection" when an excess emissions event occurred



# **Evaluating Existing Options**

#### > DCS/Process Historian

 Availability of results to operations critical to managing event avoidance



- Complexity of emissions would lead to a difficult to maintain solution
- Requirements around data validation not supported within existing tools

> Spreadsheet

- Data volume requirements exceeded supported Excel versions (Excel 2003)
- Supportability and maintenance of change seen as primary deterrent



### Criteria for Environmental Management Information System Approach

- > Justification for seeking external solution dependent on ability to deliver the following:
  - "Near real-time" calculation of emission (at least every 15 min)
  - Direct interface to process historian for automated extraction of CEMS concentrations and additional process data
  - Rigorous data validation to determine data status and document malfunctions, calibrations, CMS downtime, unit downtime
  - Aggregation of rolling averages for compliance determination and excess emission events within 24 hr rolling window
  - Feedback to process historian to present results back to operations



# **EMIS Life-Cycle Process**

**Requirement Definition** 



**Application Configuration** 

Training

**Acceptance Testing** 





# **Requirements Definition**

#### > System Selection

- Conducted workshop to fully define system/business requirements
- Documented and prioritized outcomes
- Defined standard demonstration criteria for vendors
- Evaluation of 7 vendor-provided solutions
- Selection based on best-fit and tactical considerations
- > Design Pre-work
  - Develop measurable "Success Criteria"
  - Establish project team, roles, communication channels





### **Requirements and Success Criteria**

> Refining Process Sources (FCCU/WGS, TGIs, BRU, Acid Gas Flare), Combustion Heaters/Furnaces



- Emission calculations on hourly and rolling basis
- Malfunction, startup, and shutdown determinations
- Limit comparisons and notifications
- > Additional sources, limits, and calculations can be added and/or modified per system functionality by EHS users



# Web Interface Requirements

- > Role-based security
- > Manage tags
- > View/manage errors
- > Data substitution
- > Manual scheduled requests
- > Edit/update equations
- > Manage factors and constants
- > Query results/execute standard reports





# **Reporting Requirements**

- Standard Reports: formatted reports pre-configured to meet specific output needs
  - Exceedance summary reports
  - SSM event reports
  - Analyzer "alarm" tag summary
  - CEMS status summary
  - Audit reports
- > Ad-hoc reporting query engine to allow users to output hourly results of any tags or calculations managed in the system
- > Users only required to specify date ranges for reports to run
- Results can be saved to PDF output for hard-copy recordkeeping





# **Other Key Requirements**



- > Hourly calculation results written back to historian for long-term record retention and operator control panel display
- > Email notifications of limit alerts and changes made within the system
- > Role-based security controls and grants access to various features in the system
- > Automated "catch-up" capability to accommodate historian outages



# **Design and Analysis**

- > Rigorous review with engineers and environmental staff to define calculations and methodology
- > System interface and functionality design
- > Detailed workflow of data gathering, validation, averaging, and calculation activities
- > Create a living document of accepted methodology for delivering against acceptance criteria
- > Test cases developed for each aspect of the system



# **Design Outputs for Review**

> Detailed calculation definition, review, and signoff process

EQUATIONI			PROCESSP	EQUATIONN							
D	PLANT	AREA	LANT	AME	DESCRIPTION	EQUATION	UOM	COMMENTS	EFFECTIVEDATE	AUDITNAME	AUDITTIME
17	0	6	22	CE10204	NSR EDMS N Incinerator SO2 at 0% O2	A11456*(20. 9/(20.9- A11459))	ppmvdc	Formula EEE - SO2 at 0% O2	9/1/2008 0:00	NA\RJENKS	
18	0	6	22	CE10205	NSR EDMS N Incinerator Total Mass Emissions of SO2	CE10203*CE 10204*(ME9 9202/ME992 03)/1000000	LB/HR	Total Mass Emissions of SO2	9/1/2008 0:00	NA\RJENKS	
20	0	6	22	CE10206	N Incinerator TGCU Maximum Next Hour SO2 Concentration Limit	(ME11027*1 2)- (CE10224*11 )	ppmvdc	TGCU Maximum Next Hour SO2 Concentration Limit	9/1/2008 0:00	NA\RJENKS	
23	0	6	22	CE10207	Incinerator TGCU Excess Mass Emissions of SO2	IF CE10225 > ME11027 THEN CE10243 * (CE10225- ME11027) * ME99202 / ME99203 / 1000000 ELSE 0	LB/HR	TGI Excess Mass Emissions of SO2	9/1/2008 0:00	NA\RJENKS	
27	0	6	22	CE10208	Incinerator Tail Gas Incident (TGI) Detection	IF CE10227>M E11028 then 1 else 0 * if CE10228>0 * IF CE10229=0 then ME99208 else CE10207	LB/HR	Tail Gas Incident (TGI) Detection	9/1/2008 0:00	NA\RJENKS	
28	0	6	22	CE10209	Incinerator Stack Flowrate MSCFH (from existing South incinerator)	F11315		Incinerator Stack Velocity, feet per second (from existing South incinerator);	9/1/2008 0:00	NA\RJENKS	****



### **Application Configuration Design**

Data Extraction and write-back to Historian of calculated values and validated hourly averages



### **Requirement Outcomes - Workflow**



**Trinity** Consultants

# **Application Configuration**

- > Execution of design documentation developed in prior phase
- > Work with site team to flesh out details and make users familiar with system interface
- > Weekly status meeting critical to maintain flow of information and showcase application as it develops



# Training



End User Training and Documentation (~<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> day)

- Focused on "simple" and routine activities common to highvolume of users
  - Basic navigation
  - Responding to notifications
  - Running reports/ad-hoc queries
- > Power User Training (~1 ½ days)
  - More detailed training covering work flow process for functionality and report output management
  - More advanced, atypical activities
    - Creating new emissions sources
    - Modifying calculations
    - Creating notifications
    - Interfacing with process historian



# **Acceptance Testing**



- > Site-led verification/execution of test scripts
- > Site resource leads will review via handson system evaluation
- > Document findings and comments for re-configuration
- > Sign-off on delivery of requirements



### Support and Continuous Improvement

- > Manage transition from project team to support team
- > Adopt and use system
- > Communication through user role network to build support and identify / manage global issues



### Results



- > Successful delivery of application in-time to begin compliance initiation date
- > Training and reference materials provided to "core" users - about 20 environmental and engineering resources
- > Practical and "real" use of the live system within 10 days of startup - plant upset as new process equipment brought online



# **Project Challenges**

> Complex calculations



- New equipment, operations in support of enhanced CEMS reporting requirements led to numerous re-workings of the calculation methodology
- > Uncertainty of Information
  - As new systems were going on-line, data availability was literally days before system training and testing
  - Difficult to account for "unusual" data situations (bad data from O2 sensor resulted in calculations of negative emissions!)
- > Volume of data (~35,000 records per 15 minutes) made proper QA and analysis



# **Project Successes**

- Communication plan was rigorous enough to facilitate high volumes of change and ensure project team and end-users were in alignment throughout the project
- > Adherence to the project methodology and strong management support was critical to the overall success
  - Fully defined requirements prior to initiation
  - Design detailed enough to flesh out potential issues that would have arisen at compliance date
- > The system delivered what was asked and data collection, calculation, and notifications were automated!



# Key Takeaways

- > Example of a real-world example of EMIS solving a data, reporting, and compliance issue for a facility
- > Key elements (and need of) of the full EMIS project methodology highlighted throughout the engagement



# Questions



